## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re patent application of

Nakagawa

Serial No.: 09/688,203

Group Art Unit: 2823

Filing Date: October 16, 2000

Examiner: William M. Brewster

For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

Under the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97 through §1.99 and pursuant to applicant's duty of disclosure under 37 CFR §1.56, applicant respectfully brings the following documents cited in the Japanese Office Action and listed on the attached form PTO-1449, to the attention of the Examiner in charge of the above-identified application. Copies of the listed documents, along with English Abstracts attached thereto, are provided herewith for the convenience of the Examiner.

In compliance with the requirements of 37 CFR §1.98(a)(3), as a concise statement of relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 35 U.S.C. §1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, the undersigned attorney of record submits a translation of portions of an official action by a foreign examiner in which the references were cited. The relevance to the pending U.S. patent application is that the references were cited in a foreign patent application on the same subject matter. However, no independent analysis of the references, the accuracy of the statement of the foreign examiner or the claims of the foreign application under the laws of that country or the United States relative to the subject matter claimed in the present application has been made, the present understanding of the contents thereof by the undersigned being used on the translation of the foreign examiner's comments submitted herewith.

This citation does not constitute an admission that the references are relevant or material to the claims. They are only cited as constituting related art of which the applicant is aware.

I hereby certify that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was the first citation of that item by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, which occurred not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

It is respectfully requested that the listed references be considered by the Examiner and formally made of record in this application.

Please charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Attorne

Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Respectfully submitted

Frederick E. Cooperrider Registration No. 36,769

18

McGinn & Gibb, PI

Intellectual Property Law 8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200

Vienna, VA 22182-3817 703) 761-4100

Customer No. 21254

<b>♥</b> . ♥ • •						NEC00P264-ks					
Ý	INFC	RMA <sup>*</sup>	TION DISCLOSURE	CITATION		APPLICANT(S) Nakagawa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
/ E+\						FILING DATE	ROUP 2823				
			JUN 1 1 200	E 1	0 DATENI	October 16,	, 2000		2823		
			THE TAX DOWN	27/ 0.	.S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS		T	T		
*EXAMINER INITIAL			DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE		NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS		FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
			<del></del>								
		+						<u> </u>	RECHNOLUGY CELL		
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 = 4			
		<u> </u>					j,	100	E PA		
								100	الم الم		
								1		- A <sup>-2</sup>	
					-				1800		
		ļ	<del></del>						0		
		ļ			_			<b>₹</b> ½			
, ,											
	<u> </u>	<u>.L</u>		FOR	REIGN PATI	ENT DOCUMENTS			_1		
		DOCUMENT NUMBER		DATE	COUNTRY		CLASS	CLASS SUBCLASS		TRANSLATION YES NO	
		08-148658		06/07/96	JAPAN	N			ABS		
		02-151073		06/11/90	JAPAN	N			ABS		
		10-326881		12/08/98	JAPAN	N			ABS		
		08-046159		02/16/96	JAPAI	V			ABS		
		11-2	33652	08/27/99	JAPA	N			ABS		
	•		OTHER DOCUM	ENTS (Includ	ing Autho	or, Title, Date, Pertin	ent Pages, Etc	:.)		·	
<u></u>	Ι		Japanese Office Actio	n dated March 1	2, 2003 wi	th partial English tran	slation.				
	$\vdash$										
EXAMINE	R			·····		DATE CONSIDERED		<del>-</del>			
LACIVIIVER						DATE GONGISERED					
			erence considered, whether of this form with next con			nce with MPEP 609; Draw	line through citatio	n if not in confo	rmance and n	oot	

WAK.068

Claims 1 to 3 and 5 to 7 Reason 1 or 2

Citation 1

[Remarks]

Particular reference is made to Sections [0061] to [0066] and Figs. 2 to 7.

Claim 1 Reason 3

[Remarks]

Claim 1 states that "the surface of the substrate immediately above the channel region of the select transistor produced in the same process as the central transistor is exposed." However, the substrate itself is not formed in the production process of the transistor. Thus, the description makes the invention unclear. (What part of the select transistor and what part of the central transistor are formed in the same process should be clarified.)

Claims 4 and 8 Reason 2

[Remarks]

Citation 2 discloses a technique to simultaneously form the gate insulating film of a selective transistor of EEPROM and the gate insulating film of high pressure resistance transistor of peripheral circuits. This technique could be readily applied to the invention described in Citation 1 by one skilled in the Art.

It should be noted that amendment should be made for matters that can be derived directly and uniquely from the descriptions of the initially filed Specification and Drawings of the application. For each amendment, a lawful reason for amendment and the corresponding description in the initially filed Specification forming the grounds for the reason should be provided in the Opinion Document.

For the format of Opinion, see the format of Correction Request for Patent Opposition Notice.

## Reference Citation List

- 1. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Application H08-148658
- 2. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Application H02-151073

Record of the Examination Results relating to Documents of the Prior Art

• Examined Technical Field

IPC 7th Edition H01L29/788

H01L29/792 H01L27/115 H01L21/8247

• Documents of the Prior Art

Japanese Laid-Open Patent Application H10-326881 Japanese Laid-Open Patent Application H08-46159 Japanese Laid-Open Patent Application H11-233652

The record of the examination results relating to documents of the prior art do not constitute the grounds for rejection